

Multimodal Approach to Colorectal Surgery

Value and Impact of Nutrition Interventions May 5, 2011

> Dr. Corilee A. Watters, MSc, RD, PhD, CNSC Asst. Prof, Nutrition, University of Hawaii at Manoa

Educational Learning Objectives

- Describe the importance of improving time to gastrointestinal recovery that occurs postsurgery and consider how this affects length of hospital stay and overall quality of patient care
- Evaluate the evidence for therapeutic options that may improve gastrointestinal recovery postsurgery and integrate these efforts toward supporting overall surgical quality measures
- Describe how interprofessional collaboration surrounding gastrointestinal surgery can result in better alignment with current surgical quality measures and formulate strategies to integrate this into current practice

Audience

- a) Surgery / Critical Care
- b) Diet Office
- c) Recipient?
- d) Other how evidence is applied in practice

Evidence into Practice

Rogers' Diffusion of Innovations



Outline

- Background of multi-modal surgery
- introduction to project
- Baseline data
- Multi-modal and system changes
- Preliminary results

Case Presentation

- 55-year-old WM with complicated sigmoid diverticulitis and several percutaneous drainage procedures for abscess
- He presents now for an elective open sigmoid colectomy

Patient Case–Postoperative Course

- He develops abdominal distention with oral liquids on postoperative Day 4 and vomits a large volume of bilious fluid
- He has been on intravenous fentanyl PCA analgesia

PCA: patient-controlled analgesia

Patient Case POD 5-9

- He has continued NG aspirates of 1200–1500 ml per day
- A PICC line is placed and he is placed on total parenteral nutrition for nutritional support
- He undergoes daily complete metabolic profiles and alternate day CBC's to monitor his status

PICC: peripherally inserted central catheter

 A CT scan is done on Day 7 to exclude abdominal abscess

Patient Case POD 9-13

- He begins to pass flatus on POD 9 and his NG aspirate slowly decreases
- He begins clear liquids on POD 10 and is finally advanced to general diet and after a bowel movement is able to be discharged home on POD 13

		-				-
Lar	0e	в	owe	l Re	sect	ion
	.	-	<u> </u>			
Diepror		41,	anat	olv	for S	1120
Displop		L.	ullat	ery		bury
Table 1. Relative Contribution of 36 Proced	ures to A	verse l	Events and Exce	ss Length of St	ay in General Surj	gery, American
College of Surgeons – National Surgery Qua	lity Impro	vernent	Program, 2005	-2006		Barrow Marco and
	Proces	lures		Proportion of	length of stay	all encess
Procedure		% of total	Adverse event	all adverse events, %	for adverse event, d	longth of stay, %
1. Colectomy ± colostomy	12,767	9.9	28.9	24.3	2.8	23.5
2. Small intestine resection	3,576	2.8	32.9	7.7	13.9	10.6
3. Cholecystectomy/inpatient	11,718	9.1	7.5	5.7	8.7	4.9
4. Ventral hernia repair	7,477	5.8	10.1	4.9	6.3	3.1
5. Pancreatectomy	1,927		34.9	4.4	6.8	3.0
6. Appendectomy	9,016	7.0	7.2	4.3	4.4	1.9
7. Bariatric procedures	6,167	4.8	8.3	3.4	3.7	1.2
8. Proctectomy 2 colectomy 2 anastomosis	1,402		31.5	2.9	6.2	1.8
9. Lysis of adhesions			23.1	2.0	10.5	2.1
0. Liver resection	1,045	0.8	27.0	1.9	8.8	1.6
1. Mastectomy/simple, radical, or						
NEXALINGUA	4,313	3.3	5.0	1.6	0.9	0.1
2. Castering outpation	14,258	7.5	1.8	1.5	3.9	0.1
J. Constructionary to a partial	/31	w.0	48.7	1.4	11.8	1,9
disection	10.270	7.9	2.0	14	1.2	0.2
5. Gutterharbo/reeferation or blooling alor	651	0.3	49.6	1.2	16.1	1.9
16. Surger small or large bowd perforation	301	0.2	49.5	1.9	12.5	1.2
7. Fundoplasty or paraesophareal hemia repair	1,871	14	7.9	1.0	10.7	1.9
18. Esophagectomy/total or near total	254	0.2	55.1	0.9	11.6	1.1
9. Splenectorny/total or partial	659	0.5	20.2	0.9	13.2	1.1
20. Gastrojejanostomy	581	0.5	34.9	0.9	10.6	0.9
21. All focula repairs	362	0.3	34.0	0.8	19.5	1.6
2. Inguinal or femoral hernia repair/inputient	1,452	1.1	7.7	0.7	6.1	0.4
3. Inguinal or femoral hemia repair/outpatient	9.509	7.4	1.1	0.7	0.2	0.01
24. Above- or below-knee amputation	507	0.2	31.3	0.6	9.2	0.6
25. Debeidement for necrotizing soft tissue						
infection	222	0.2	43.2	0.6	20.5	1.3
26. Bilioenteric anastornosia	278	0.2	33.5	0.6	8.9	0.5
17. Drain peritoneal abscess/not appendiceal	188	0.1	47.3	0.6	17.5	1.0
28. Debeide pancreas	128	0.1	69.5	0.6	26.6	1.5
29. 1 hyrosdectomyrtotal or subtotal	5,192	4.0	1.7	0.6	3.8	0.2
90. Excision of intraabdominal or	470	0.1	18.4	0.5	2.0	0.5
11 Parahamidoname	2.521	2.0	21	0.5	55	0.5
O. Vancourses and other matrix networking	644	0.5	67	0.3	10.0	0.2
33 Advenalectory	480	0.4	8.5	0.3	12.2	0.3
4 Relaction of schedus, increases entition, or	400					10
homia by laparotomy	138	0.1	22.5	0.2	12.6	0.3
35. Pelvic exentention	-40	0.03	45.0	0.1	11.1	0.1
36. Toe or foot amputation	33	0.02	39.4	0.1	2.5	0.02

Elective Colorectal Surgeries And Length of Stay

What is the typical length of stay associated with elective bowel resection procedures?



A /E Extended LOS in the Absence of Complications ttio	95% Confidence Inter Outlier (P < 0.05)
	Worse than Expected
Better than Expected	141
	united and a state of the second
Hospital	

Why the Outliers (? POI)

Clinical and Financial Significance

- HCFA data (Medicare): 1999–2000
 - 161,000 major intestinal/colorectal resections
 - Mean post-op stay = 11.3 days
 - 1.8 million hospital bed-days
 - \$1.75 billion per annum

Senagore AJ. Am J Health-Syst Pharm. 2007;64(S13):S3-7.

Elective Colorectal Surgeries and Length of Stay

 Although numerous studies have demonstrated that accelerated care pathways for colorectal surgeries are associated with reduced length of hospital stay, length of stay in the US and elsewhere is ~7-15 days. Gastrointestinal recovery is an important determinant of length of stay.

Elective Bowel Resection and Perioperative Surgical Care Pathway

 A recent web-based survey of general and colorectal surgeons in the US indicated that only 30% practice in hospitals with a perioperative surgical care pathway intended to accelerate GI recovery following elective bowel resections

Delaney C, et al. Am J Surg. 2010;199:299-304.

Fast Track Protocol

- Pre-operative information and education
- No NG, +/- epidurals
- PCA analgesia, supplementary i.v. ketorolac
- Encouraged to ambulate x 5 per day
- Liquids ad lib after surgery
- Diet from evening post-op Day 1
- Oral analgesia Day 2 if tolerating diet

Nasogastric Tube Usage (more than you think)







Clinical Impact of POI¹⁻⁴

Delayed recovery

- Increased postoperative pain
- Increased nausea and vomiting
 Increased risk of aspiration
- Prolonged time to regular diet
 _ Delayed wound healing
- Increased risk of malnutrition/catabolism
 Prolonged time to mobilization
- Increased pulmonary complications
 Prolonged hospitalization
- Prolonged hospitalizatio
 Increased health care costs

1. Woods MS. *Perspect Colon Rectal Surg*. 2000;12:57-76. 2. Kehlet H. Holte K. *Am J Surg*. 2001;182(5A suppl):3S-10S. 3. Behm B. Stollman N. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2003;1:71-80. 4. Leslie JB. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2005; 39:1502-1510.



What is the economic impact of delayed GI recovery following bowel resection procedures?



Postoperative ileus increases cost primarily due to what reason?

- A. Increased rate of anastomotic leak
- B. Increased use of imaging and laboratory investigation
- C. Increased risk of incisional dehiscence
- D. Increased cost of analgesics

POI and Costs

Additional costs associated with POI primarily include increasing length of stay, labor costs, imaging/diagnostic studies, laboratory costs, and parenteral nutrition

Bowel Resection						
Study	Placebo (Mean h)	Alvimopan 12 mg (Mean h)	Difference (Mean h)	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)		
1	111.8	92.0	19.8	1.533 (1.293, 1.816)		
2	132.0	105.9	26.1	1.625 (1.256, 2.102)		
3	130.3	116.4	14.0	1.365 (1.057, 1.764)		
4	119.9	106.7	13.2	1.400 (1.035, 1.894)		
5	109.5	98.8	10.7	1.299 (1.070, 1.575)		

GI2* Recovery Following

*GI2 = time to toleration of solid food and first bowel movement CI = confidence interval

http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2009/021775s004lbl.pdf. Accessed May 2010.



Enhanced Recovery Pathway Departmental Length of Stay (LOS)

	19	91–1999	19	1999		March-June/2000	
		LOS		LOS		LOS	
DRG 148							
ERP open	1784	9.5	185	8.6			
other CR teams	6459	9.8	824	8.8	162	10.1	
Laparoscopic					24	3.2 *	
DRG 149							
ERP open	742	6.4	69	5.2		3.5 †	
other CR teams	2256	6.4	327	5.1	111	4.5	
Laparoscopic						2.5 *	
DRG 148 & 149							
ERP open	2526	8.6	254	7.7		4.7 §	
other CR teams	8715	8.9	1151	7.7	273	7.7	
Laparoscopic						2.9*	
<i>P</i> < 0.0001; † <i>P</i> = 0.00	02;§P<0	.001, Studer	nt's t test				
					LAP	: laparoscop	
ney C, et al. Br J Surg. 20	01;88:1533-	1538.			UR.	colorectal s	

GI Recovery, LOS, and Cost

- GI recovery influences LOS, which impacts overall hospitalization costs
- Strategies to enhance GI recovery are expected to ultimately translate into cost savings
 - Enhanced recovery pathway
 - Preoperative patient education and optimization
 - > Minimally invasive surgery where appropriate
 - Early removal of NG tubes
 - Early resumption of diet
 - > Opioid-sparing techniques
 - > Peripheral opioid antagonism where appropriate
 - Early ambulation

Patient Case Summary

- Patient developed prolonged POI with an extended length of stay
- Increased cost of care due to imaging, parenteral nutrition, and metabolic monitoring
- Patient experienced significant impairment of quality of life and delayed recovery

Outline

- Background of multi-modal surgery
- introduction to project
- Baseline data
- Multi-modal and system changes
- Preliminary results



Rob Calnan, Nurse Manage





Dr. Karimuddin, Surgeon

It takes a team





Prof. Carli, Anesthetist, Researche McGill University, brought in to Present to nurses and physicians At medical rounds

Fast-Track Surgery/ MMCR

What is fast-track surgery?

Basic Concept

- Pre-op patient education
- New techniques: anaesthetic, analgesic, surgical
 - Reduce: stress, pain, discomfort
- Aggressive post-op rehab
- Use same D/C criteria as traditional care

Kehlet H, Sawyer F. Fast Track Surgery, ACS Surgery: Principles & Practice

Goals

- Lower risk, better outcome
- Accelerate recovery
- Reduce morbidity, complications (pulmonary, cardiac, thromboembolic, infectious)

Kehlet H, Sawyer F. Fast Track Surgery, ACS Surgery: Principles & Practice

Shorten convalescence

Fast-Track Surgery

What should we do pre-operatively?

Patient Education

- Tell patients what to expect
 - Improves patient collaboration with team
 - ↓ anxiety
 - \downarrow analgesia needs
- Tell patients their role in recovery
- Optimize patients medically
 - Including smoking, EtOH cessation

Fearon KC, et al. Clin Nutr. 24: 466, 2005 Kehlet H, Wilmore DW. Am J Surg. 183: 630, 2002

No Pre-Op Bowel Prep

- Bowel prep $\rightarrow \uparrow$ anastomotic dehiscence
- (Recommendations not clear yet for low anterior resections)

Soop M, et al. Curr Opin Crit Care. 12: 166, 2006 Wind J, et al. Br J Surg. 93: 800, 2006

Limited Fasting

- Solids until 6 hours before surgery
- · Clear fluids until 2 hours before surgery
 - Safe
 - Beneficial
- Carbohydrate-loaded liquid pre-op
 - \downarrow post-op catabolism
 - ↓ insufimine sistaince Clip Nybergil (% Cening for Soop M, et al. Curr Opin Crit Care. 12: 166, 2006
 ↓ musclen Loss tal. Br J Surg. 93: 800, 2006

Prophylactic Antibiotics

- Single dose immediately pre-op Anaerobes
 - Aerobes

Kehlet H, Wilmore DW. Am J Surg. 183: 630, 2002

No Routine Pre-medication

Fearon KC, et al. Clin Nutr. 24: 466, 2005

- Anxiolytics only when absolutely necessary
- No pre-emptive analgesia

VTE Prophylaxis

- Pre-op heparin
- Heparin g12h until fully mobilizing
- TEDS

Fearon KC, et al. Clin Nutr. 24: 466, 2005

Fast-Track Surgery

What should we do intra-operatively?

Anaesthesia

- · Best anaesthetics and opiods:
 - Rapid-onset
 - Short-acting
- · Maximize use of regional anaesthesia
 - Spinal / epidural better than general anaesthetic
 - Post-op epidural (controversial?)

Kehlet H, Sawyer F. Fast Track Surgery, ACS Surgery: Principles & Practice

Less Invasive Surgery Smaller incisions Curved, transverse incisions? Laparoscopic ↓ inflammatory response ↑ pulmonary function ↓ length-of-stay?

Fearon KC, et al. Clin Nutr. 24: 466, 2005 Kehlet H, Wilmore DW. Am J Surg. 183: 630, 2002

Maintain Normothermia

- · Mild hypothermia
 - ↑ wound infection
 - \uparrow blood loss
 - ↑ cardiac events
- Core temperature monitoring
- Bair huggers
- Warmed IV fluids

Fearon KC, et al. Clin Nutr. 24: 466, 2005 Kehlet H, Wilmore DW. Am J Surg. 183: 630, 2002

Avoid Fluid Overload

- Fluid overload →
 - 1 ileus
 - "major and minor morbidity"
 - ↑ length of stay

Soop M, et al. Curr Opin Crit Care. 12: 166, 2006 Wind J, et al. Br J Surg. 93: 800, 2006

Pharmacologic Intervention

- Glucocorticoid
 - \downarrow inflammation
 - ↓ nausea, vomiting
 - ↓ pain
- Beta-blockers
 ↓ cardiac morbidity
- Anabolic agents
 Studies inconclusive
- Kehlet H, Sawyer F. Fast Track Surgery, ACS Surgery: Principles & Practice

Fast-Track Surgery

What should we do post-operatively?

Avoid Drains

• JP drains

• Do not use routinely (except post-mastectomy)

- NG tubes
 - Do not use routinely
- Foleys
 - Do not use routinely
 - D/C after 24h (even with epidural in place)

Kehlet H, Wilmore DW. Am J Surg. 183: 630, 2002

Early Activity

- \downarrow muscle loss
- \downarrow thromboembolism
- ↑ pulmonary function
- ↑ tissue oxygenation

Early Activity

- Environment should encourage independence
- Out of bed x 2h on day of surgery
- Out of bed x 6h every day after

Soop M, et al. Curr Opin Crit Care. 12: 166, 2006

Early Feeding

Kehlet H, Sawyer F. Fast Track Surgery, ACS Surgery: Principles & Practice

- \downarrow infection, \downarrow gut permeability
- \downarrow hospital stay
- \downarrow catabolism
- No ↑ dehiscence

Soop M, et al. Curr Opin Crit Care. 12: 166, 2006 Kehlet H, Wilmore DW. Am J Surg. 183: 630, 2002

Early Feeding

- Start clear fluids 2h post-op
- Aim > 800mL fluids on day of surgery
- DAT 4h post-op
- Routine nutritional supplements
- ↓ IV appropriately, avoid fluid overload, aim D/C IV on POD#1

Soop M, et al. Curr Opin Crit Care. 12: 166, 2006 Kehlet H, Wilmore DW. Am J Surg. 183: 630, 2002

Prevent Nausea and Vomiting

- Ondansetron, droperidol, dexamethasone
- Anti-emetic polypharmacy?
- Minimize narcotics
- O₂
- Useless: metoclopramide

Kehlet H, Wilmore DW. Am J Surg. 183: 630, 2002 Kehlet H, Sawyer F. Fast Track Surgery, ACS Surgery: Principles & Practice

Prevent lleus

- \downarrow ileus with post-op epidural
- Avoid
 - Narcotics
 - · Fluid overload
- Mg supplements
- Control medically: novel peripherally acting opioid antagonist (alvimopan)
- Continue feeding in Crit Care. 12: 166, 2006 Kehlet H. Sawyer F. Fast Track Surgery, ACS Surgery: Principles & Practice

Routine Laxatives, Pro-kinetics · Suggested in a few studies · Critical for Mobilization Oral intake • ↓ stress response · Epidural x 2d acetaminophen APScoop M, et al. Curr Opin Crit Care. 12: 166, 2006 APScentet H, Wilmore DW. Am J Surg. 183: 630, 2002 Kehlet H, Sawyer F. Fast Track Surgery, ACS Surgery: Principles & Practice Wind J, et al. Br J Surg. 93: 800, 2006

Optimize Analgesia

- Multi-modal: NSAIDs, routine

Routine Oxygen

- ↓ sats ←
 - Anaesthetic effects
 - Supine position $\rightarrow \downarrow$ pulmonary function
 - Sleep disturbances
- Routine O2 administration \rightarrow
 - ↓ nausea, vomiting
 - \downarrow infection
 - ↓ HR
 - ↓confusion

Kehlet H, Wilmore DW. Am J Surg. 183: 630, 2002

Continue Medications

• Continue with patient's home meds

Kehlet H, Wilmore DW. Am J Surg. 183: 630, 2002

Nursing

- Nurses good resource for psychological support
 - Early oral intake
 - Early mobilization
 - Self-care
- · Care maps with daily goals are useful

Kehlet H, Sawyer F. Fast Track Surgery, ACS Surgery: Principles & Practice

Discharge Planning

Usual criteria

- · Adequate pain control with oral analgesia
- Taking solid foods
- No IV fluids
- · Independently mobile
- · Patient willing to go home

Soop M, et al. Curr Opin Crit Care. 12: 166, 2006

Discharge Planning

- Goals
 - ↓ readmissions
 - ↑ patient safety
 - ↑ patient satisfaction
- Outline for patient
 - · Expected recovery time course
 - Recommendations
 - Encourage oral nutrition, mobilization

Kehlet H, Sawyer F. Fast Track Surgery, ACS Surgery: Principles & Practice

Follow Up

- Structured follow-up plan
 - Phone call: 1-2d
 - Out-patient clinic: 7-10d
 - Phone call: 30d
- · Pathways for prompt readmission when necessary
 - Symptom relief
 - Overnight stay

Soop M, et al. Curr Opin Crit Care. 12: 166, 2006

Fast-Track Surgery

What are the outcomes?

Systematic review of enhanced recovery programmes in colonic surgery

J. Wind¹, S. W. Polle¹, P. H. P. Fung Kon Jin¹, C. H. C. Dejong², M. F. von Meyenfeldt², D. T. Ubbink^{1,3}, D. J. Gouma¹ and W. A. Bernelman¹ on behalf of the Laparoscopy and/or Fast Track Multimodal Management *Versus* Standard Care (LAFA) study group and the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) group

Background: East track (FT) programmes optimize perioperative care in an attempt to accelerate recovery, reduce modulity and shorten hospital say. The aim of this review was to assess FT programmes for electric segmental colonic resections. Methods 1, systematic review was performed of all randomized controlled trials and controlled clinical trails on FT colonic surgery. The main endpoints were number of applied FT elements, hospital say, evaluations are previous to the system sense of the system sense of the system sense independently by three observers. Results: Sta papers were eligible for analysis (three randomized controlled and three controlled clinical trials), including \$12 patients. FT programmes contained a mean of nine (targe four to 12) of the 17 PT elements a defined in the literature. Prinary hospital say (verighted mean difference - 1-56 aby, \$9 per eart confidence interval (ca) - 240 to - 950 day) and motivality (relative risk 0-54, 59 per cent - 6.05 day) and motivality (relative risk 0-54, 59 per cent - 6.07 to 1-50 day) and there were a impact to 150 sector for FT pergommes. Readmission meres were not significantly different (relative risk 1-17, 75 per cent ci. 0-37 to 1-80, No increase in mortality was found. Construints: FT propares to be saft and horters hospital sug after clettre colorcerial surgery. However, as the evidence is limited, a multicentre randomized trial scemes justified.



Study or subcategory	n	Fast track mean(s.d.)	n	Traditional Mean(s.d.)	WMD (random) (95% c.i.)	Weight (%)	WMD (random) (95% c.i.)
RCTs Anderson et al. ⁹ Delaney et al. ¹⁰ Gatt et al. ¹³ Subtotal (95% c.i.) Test for heterogeneity. Test for overall effect:	14 31 19 64 Z = 5.46 $Z = 2.16$, β	4-00(1-80) 5-20(2-50) 6-60(4-40) , 2 d.f., P = 0-070, J P = 0-03	11 33 20 64 ² = 63·4%	7-00(2-10) 5-80(3-00) 9-00(4-60)		23-81 27-38 10-86 62-04	-3.00 (-4.56, -1.44 -0.60 (-1.95, 0.75) -2.40 (-5.22, 0.42) -1.89 (-3.61, -0.18
Non-RCTs Basse et al. ⁴ Bradshaw et al. ²⁰ Subtotal (95% c.i.) Test for heterogeneity: Test for overall effect:	130 36 166 not applic Z = 2.59, J	3-30(0-00) 4-90(1-90) sable P = 0-010	130 36 166	10-00(0-00) 6-00(1-70)	-0- ♦	37-96 37-96	Not estimable - 1·10 (- 1·93, -0·27 - 1·10 (- 1·93, -0·27
Total (95% c.i.) Test for heterogeneity Test for overall effect:	230 χ ² = 6·37 Z = 2·90, F	7, 3 d.f., <i>P</i> = 0·100, P = 0·004	230 1 ² = 52·9%			100-00	-1.56 (-2.61, -0.50
					-10 -5 0 5 Favours Favou	10 rs	

	Rea	dmis	sion		
Study or subcategory	Fast track (n/n)	Traditional (n/n)	RR (fixed) (95% c.i.)	Weight (%)	RR (fixed) (95% c.i.)
RCTs Anderson <i>et al.</i> ⁹ Delaney <i>et al.</i> ¹⁰ Gatt <i>et al.</i> ¹³ Subtotal (95% c.i.) Total events: 4 (fast track), 1 Test for heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0$ Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$:	0/14 3/31 1/19 64 0 (traditional) 32, 1 d.f., <i>P</i> = 0.570, <i>I</i> ² = 0% 4, <i>P</i> = 0.120	0/11 6/33 4/20 64		20-41 13-69 34-09	Not estimable 0-53 (0-15, 1-95) 0-26 (0-03, 2-15) 0-42 (0-14, 1-27)
Non-RCTs Basse et al. ⁹ Bradshaw et al. ²⁰ Raue et al. ¹⁹ Subtotal (95% c.i.) Total events: 29 (fast track),	27/130 1/36 1/23 189 19 (traditional)	16/130 1/36 2/29 195		56-18 3-51 6-21 65-91	1-69 (0-96, 2-98) 1-00 (0-07, 15-38) 0-63 (0-06, 6-53) 1-55 (0-91, 2-66)
Test for neterogeneity: $\chi^{-} = 0$ Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.6$ Total (95% c.i.) Total events: 33 (fast track), Test for heterogeneity: $\chi^{2} = 5$ Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.6$	7.7, 2 0.1, $P = 0.590$, $P = 0.56$ 0, $P = 0.11$ 253 29 (traditional) -24, 4 d.f., $P = 0.260$, $P = 23.6\%$ 5, $P = 0.52$	259		100-00	1·17 (0·73, 1·86)
	Wind J. et a	. Br J Sur	1 0-1 1 10 Favours Favour fast track tradition 0, 93: 800, 2006	100 s al	

		Iorhid	it.		
Study or subcategory	Fast track (n/n)	Traditional control	RR (fixed) (95% c.i.)	Weight (%)	RR (fixed) (95% c.i.)
RCTs Anderson et al. ⁹ Delaney et al. ¹⁰ Gatt et al. ¹³ Subtotal (95% c.l.) Total events: 20 (tast track), 3 Tost for heterogeneity; z ² = 0 Test for heterogeneity; z ² = 0 Test for overall effect: Z = 1-80	4/14 7/31 9/19 64 80 (traditional control) 12, 2 d.L., <i>P</i> = 0.94, <i>J</i> ² = 0% 5, <i>P</i> = 0.960	5/11 10/33 15/20 64		5-00 8-64 13-04 26-68	0-63 (0-22, 1-80) 0-75 (0-32, 1-71) 0-63 (0-37, 1-08) 0-67 (0-44, 1-02)
Non-RCTs Basse et al. ⁴ Bradshaw et al. ²⁰ Raue et al. ¹⁹ Subtotal (95% c.i.) Total events: 40 (fast track), 8 Test for heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0$:	33/130 3/36 4/23 189 13 (traditional control) 97, 2 d.f., P=0.61, I ² =09	72/130 4/36 7/29 195	- -	64-23 3-57 5-52 73-32	0-46 (0-33, 0.64) 0-75 (0-18, 3-11) 0-72 (0-24, 2-16) 0-49 (0-36, 0.67)
Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.43$ Total (95% c.i.) Total events: 60 (fast track), 1 Test for heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 2$:	7, P < 0.001 253 13 (traditional control) 38, 5 d.f., P = 0.790, I ² = 0 1, P < 0.001	259	*	100-00	0-54 (0-42, 0-69)





Principles for the entire pathway...

- Implementing multimodal best practices based on available evidence that simplifies care and treatment Available evidence that simplines care and it
 processes and includes:
 Minimizing pain
 Normalizing GI Function
 Optimizing Preoperative Nutrition
 Feeding postoperatively as soon as appropriate
 Optimizing Ambulation
- Discontinuing attached lines, tubes, and/or drains as soon as appropriate Promoting self management and care
- Optimizing respiratory function

The Journey Begins

- At the surgeon's office after there has been a decision for the surgery
- The preoperative colon resection clinical order set is completed and arrives with the booking package
- Once it arrives on site, a preadmission appointment is booked about a week before surgery

Preparing for Surgery

Before

- Now
- Inconsistent preoperative
 "Before, During and After Colon
 Resection" Booklet instructions were given (for example – bowel care)
- No clear expectations about the goals of care
- The nurses review with the patient and
- family: Bowel Prep, CHG Washes
- The pathway post surgery on each day for:

Activity

- Eating Pain
- The target for discharge is Day 4!
- · What they need to know for going

The result we hoped for:

Care Post Operatively

Before

- Diet Slow progression of ice chips to fluids
- Activity
 Slow to mobilize
- Investigations
- Different depending on surgeon
- Foley

 Stayed in until epidural removed
- Pain Control variable

Now

- Diet
- Full Fluids POD 0, Light diet by POD 1
- > Activity
 > Dangle POD 0 → 5hours or more of activity by POD 4
- Investigations
 Standardized bw on POD 1 & 3 Foley

 Removed on POD 2
- Pain Control
- Goal: 3 or less on pain scale
 Around the clock tylenol

Operating Room

Consistent Practice

Now

Before

- Inconsistent practice
- Anesthesia Protocol was developed. Key points:
 - Consider Spinal for all minimally invasive surgery
 Consider Epidural for all open cases unless contraindicated
 - All patients to receive antiemetics
 - Perioperative heparin to be administered to all patients
 - Lactated Ringers is solution of choice, and restrict maintentance fluid to 15cc/kg/hr

 - Active warming of the patient
 Use of Fi02 of .8
 Timely antibiotic administration

Care Post Operatively

Before

- Wound Care
- At the discretion of nurses DVT proph
 Varied by surgeon
- Epidural
- . Removed approx day 4 or 5
- ▶ IV
- Discharge
- Varied by surgeon

Now

- Wound Care No change
- DVT proph
 Standardized (SC Heparin)
- Epidural
- Stopped Day 2, removed day
- ▶ IV SL when intake is 1200 cc/day or until no longer needed
- Discharge

 Standing criteria
 Target: Discharge on POD 4

Tools used to support the changes

- Education Book
- Nursing Documentation Care Pathways
 - Documentation by exception
 - A different path for each post operative day
- Clinical Order Sets
 - PreOp
 - Post Op
 - Colon Specific Epidural Orders
- Anesthesia Protocol

Home...

- No changes Home support and other referrals are still done as required, follow up appointments still occur...
- The only difference is education book is resource -"After" section is dedicated to instructions for when patients go home.

Colon Care Map – The Data

- Successes:
 - Better patient education
 - · Standardization of care
 - · Adherence to best practices
 - Pre-emptive analgesia
 - DVT prophylaxis Antibiotic Timing
 - Normothermia
 - Regional Anaesthetic te

Patient Education

- 32 Pages Before, During and After Surgery
- · One of the keys to the success of this project was having well prepared patients

Education Book Survey

- N= 15 or about 50%
- The median and average responses to the questions were 5 (strongly agreed). Patients said:
 - Easy to understand, that they read the book, it answered their questions, liked the layout, and would recommend it to others
 - The recommended that nothing in the book be removed

A few quotes

- "Excellent booklet! Wish I'd had it a little earlier than the preadmission meeting. Really liked the way it was set up – before, during and after the surgery. I would have been lost without all the information. The caregiver also found it useful. Thank you"
- "... It took the anxiety out of the process"
- "This booklet is an excellent source of information for the patient and family"
- "I cannot say enough in praise of the nurses, doctors, and staff on 7A when I was a patient. They were terrific. No problems at all with the education book".

Colon Care Pathway Outcomes: A Comparison of Pre and Post-Pathway Data

Sarah Madison BSc UBC IMP Yr 3

Colon Care Pathway

- Dr. A. Hayashi
- Dr. C. Watters
- Dr. A. Karimuddin
- Dr. S. Leacock
- C. Addis

Objectives

- Background Information on the Colon Care Pathway
- Comparison of Pre and Post-Pathway

Data

Summary

The Beginning: 2009

- Literature review
 Best practise around colorectal surgery
- Current practise at VGH
 Retrospective chart review of 44 pts who underwent colorectal surgery in 2009
- · Comparison of lit review to current practise

2010: Pilot Project

Goals

- Reduce morbidity
 Hasten functional improvement / recovery
- Pilot Project order sets
 Based on results of literature review & discussions with anesthesia, nursing, gen surg, nutrition services
- Chart review
- 31 charts of pts who underwent colorectal surg with new pathway

A Look at the Data

- 2009: Pre-pathway
 - 44 charts
 - Date range: Nov '08 Apr '09
 - Excluded: pediatrics, emergency

• 2010: Post-pathway

- 31 charts reviewed to date
- Date range: Feb Apr 20
- Excluded: pediatrics, emergency, pouches

Patient Demographics

Median (Range)	2009 Pi n= 44	re-Pathway	2010 Colon Pathway n = 31		
Age	65	(31 – 91)	62	(48 - 86)	
Gender	30 M	14 F	15 M	16F	
BMI	27.8	(18.2 – 43.4)	26.4	(19.0 - 49.2)	
Pre-op ASA Class	2.0	(1 – 3)	2.0	(1 – 3)	
Surgery Length (mins)	184.0	(77 – 364)	120.0	(69 – 219)	
Open Procedure	32		12		
Laparoscopic	12		19		
Malignant Disease	24		12		

























Summary

- Under the Colon Care Pathway:
 - Increased pre-op analgesia
- Fewer bowel preps
- Tolerating solids sooner
- Shorter duration of ileus
- Epidurals & foleys removed sooner
- Fewer complications
- Shorter length of stay

What is Most Important?

- Education, education, education
 Patient: pre- and post-op
 - Care team
- Collaboration, collaboration, collaboration
 - Dietitians
 - Surgeons
 - Anaesthetists, APS team: intra- and post-op
 - NursesPhysiotherapists

Other centers

 Ronald Stone, RD indicates Mayo Clinic in Florida has implemented the multi-modal/ fast track approach, 4-5 yrs ago, "Nutrition rarely intervenes now as they are in and out by day 3"